

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/463,681

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit

1753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(3) _____

(2) Cris. Beck

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1/20/04Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____


Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of recordAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SEE BELOW

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

APPLICANT WILL AMEND THE CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION TO GET AWAY FROM PRIOR ART'S TEACHINGS.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required